

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 11 2006

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION EXAMINER  
DECISION ON MY PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) FILED ON JUNE  
5, 2006**

Inventor: Valentin Shustov

Application No.: 10/707,788

Art Unit: 3637

Filed: 01/12/2004

Petition Examiner: Andrea Smith

Title: Earthquake Protector


Attention: Office of Petitions  
Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TEL (571) 272-3282  
FAX (571) 273-8300

In addition to my today's facsimile transmission of Response to Petition Examiner Decision of 10-10-2006 (Attachment 1), please, find attached my Petition under 37 CFR 1.181 for Review of Examiner Decision which was originally transmitted on 08/03/2006 (Attachment 2) but is now missing according to Andrea Smith.

Due to foregoing and taking into account both of my Petitions, please, reconsider your Decision filed on June 5, 2006 and provide me with some more time for reply if I need.

Thanks,



Valentin Shustov, Petitioner

12/11/2006

Attachment: 4 pages

USPTO

12/11/2006 3:55:49 PM PAGE 1/001 Fax Server

TO: Auto-reply fax to 8189570276 COMPANY:

ATTACHMENT 1**Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 11 2006

TO:

Fax Sender at 8189570276

Fax Information  
Date Received:  
Total Pages:12/11/2006 3:53:06 PM [Eastern Standard Time]  
3 (including cover page)

**ADVISORY:** This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received  
Cover  
Page  
=====>

01/01/2006 03:30 8189570276

PAGE 02/03

**RESPONSE TO PRATTON EXAMINER DECISION ON MY PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.27(b) FILED ON AUGUST 5, 2006**

Revised: Volante, Robert

Application No.: 10/207,700

Art Unit: 2637

Filed: 01/12/2004

Petition Examiner: Andrew Smith

Title: Paraglide Proctor

Attention: Office of Petitions  
Mail Stop Petitions  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1459  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1459

TEL (571) 272-3282  
FAX (571) 273-8900

In response to your Decision of October 10, 2006 stating that your second date to show that petitioner submitted reply to the final Office action mailed October 10, 2005, please be advised on the following disagreement:

1. The final Office action was not dated October 10, 2005 but October 6, 2005.
2. On November 29, 2005, I did send my reply to the Office action of October 6, 2005, exact reply, by filing it (please see the attachment).

Due to foregoing, please, give another look at my Petition.

12/08/2006

Volante, Robert, Petitioner

Attachments: 2 pages

PAGE 02/03 OF RESPONSE TO EXAMINER DECISION ON MY PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.27(b) FILED ON AUGUST 5, 2006

USPTO 8/4/2006 2:22 AM PAGE 1/001 Fax Server  
 TO:Auto-reply fax to 8189570276 COMPANY:

**ATTACHMENT 2****Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission**

TO: Fax Sender at 8189570276  
 Fax Information  
 Date Received: 8/4/2006 2:07:52 AM (Eastern Daylight Time)  
 Total Pages: 2 (including cover page)

**ADVISORY:** This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.8(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received  
 Cover  
 Page  
 =====>

8/12/2006 2:07	8189570276	PAGE 01/02
<b>PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.104 FOR REVIEW OF EXAMINER DECISION</b>		
Inventor: Valentin Stoyanov	Art Unit: 3617	
Application No.: 10/707,300	Examiner: A. Eli Dero Felt	
Filed: 01/22/2004		
Title: Endospore Protector		
Attention: Office of Patents Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 TEL (571) 272-3212 FAX (571) 272-3200		
<p>The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. My Petition for revival of my application filed 05/22/06, according to my telephone communication of 08/01/06 with Mr. Woods, is going to be declined by the Office of Patents because the Advisory Action of 08/01/06 states that my last amendment(s) of 01/16/06 "will not be entered because they raise new issues".</p> <p>Taking into account that no new issues were raised in my amendment of 01/16/06,</p> <p>1. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIEW OF THE EXAMINER'S DECISION.</p> <p>2. APPLICANT ALSO PETITIONS FOR REFUND OF THE PETITION FOR REVIVAL-FEE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$750.00.</p> <p>Dated: August 2, 2006</p> <p style="text-align: center;">           Valentin Stoyanov       </p>		

DEC 11 2006

**PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 FOR REVIEW OF EXAMINER  
DECISION**

Inventor: Valentin Shustov

Application No.: 10/707,788

Art Unit: 3637

Filed: 01/12/2004

Examiner: A, Phi Dien Tran

Title: Earthquake Protector

Attention: Office of Petitions  
**Mail Stop Petition**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


TEL (571) 272-3282  
FAX (571) 273-8300

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. My Petition for revival of my application dated 05/29/06, according to my telephone communication of 08/01/06 with Mr. Woods, is going to be declined by the Office of Petitions because the Advisory Action of 03/21/06 states that my last amendment(s) of 01/16/06 "will not be entered because they raise new issues".

Taking into account that no new issues were raised in my amendment of 01/16/06,

1. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIEW OF THE EXAMINER'S DECISION.
2. APPLICANT ALSO PETITIONS FOR REFUND OF THE PETITION FOR REVIVAL FEE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$750.00.

Dated: August 2, 2006



Valentin Shustov

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**  
**[37 CFR 1.8]**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimilly transmitted to the U.S. PTO or deposited to the U.S. postal service with a sufficient postage as the first class mail in an envelope addressed to

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

on the date shown below.

August 3, 2006



**Valentin Shustov**